

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/618,227	PAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SUDHANSU C. PATHAK	2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) SUDHANSU C. PATHAK (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott Wolinsky (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 September 2008

**Time:** afternoon

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amending independent claim by replacing "creating" with "generating" for allowability. However, based on the rejection the allowability of the application is withdrawn.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)